Case 1:07-cv-10047-AKH Document	1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 1 of 12
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
STEVEN SKIFIC AND MELISSA SKIFIC	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	tein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE O	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an '\(\overline{\mathbb{U}}\)'' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, STEVEN SKIFIC AND MELISSA GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, comp	•
I. PAR	TIES
A. PLAIN	
Plaintiff, STEVEN SKIFIC (herein citizen of New Jersey residing at 326 White Avenue, N (OR	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Alternatively, \(\square\) is the _____ of Decedent

_____, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of ______

2.

Ca	se 1:07-cv-10047-AKH Docu	ment 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 of 12		
3. Jersey residin Injured Plaint	ng at 326 White Avenue, Northvale tiff:	einafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New, NJ 07647-, and has the following relationship to the		
	STEVEN SKIFIC, and b	mes herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff rings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the husband (his wife), Plaintiff STEVEN SKIFIC.		
		☐ Other:		
4. New York an	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 5 and New Jersey as a Police Officer at	5/1/2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Port Authority of :		
1	Please be as specific as possible wh	hen filling in the following dates and locations		
======================================	d Trade Center Site	==		
Location(s) (a	<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)bout 9/12/2001 until 5/1/2002;	From on or about 11/1/2001 until To Be Provided; Approximately To Be Provided hours per day; for Approximately To Be Provided days total.		
Approximate	ly 12 hours per day; for	=======================================		
Approximate	ly <u>232</u> days total. ====================================	 Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured 		
From on or all Approximated Approximated	York City Medical Examiner's Offi bout 9/18/2001 until To Be Provided, ly To Be Provided hours per day; for ly To Be Provided days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;		
Approximate	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
	<u> =</u>	of paper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify separate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	✓ Was exposed to and breat above;	hed noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	✓ Was exposed to and inhal dates at the site(s) indicated above	ed or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all e;		
	Was exposed to and absorbe site(s) indicated above;	rbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined	d		

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on	NEW YORK, INC.
\square More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	\square EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

- PHILLIPS AND JORDAN, INC.
- ☑ PINNACLE ENVIRONMENTAL CORP
- ☑ PLAZA CONSTRUCTION CORP.
- ✓ PRO SAFETY SERVICES, LLC
- ☑ PT & L CONTRACTING CORP
- ☐ REGIONAL SCAFFOLD & HOISTING CO,
- ✓ ROBER SILMAN ASSOCIATES
- ☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC
- ✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.
- ☑ ROYAL GM INC.
- ☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.
- ✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP
- ☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WOLKOW-BRAKER ROOFING CORP ✓ WORLD TRADE CENTER PROPERTIES.

- ✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP
- ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC
- ✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.
- ✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC
- ☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

\square OTH	ER·		
$ \circ$ \cdot \cdot	LIX.		

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	Ç
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:					
Stabil	☐ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☐; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION		
of lial	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to establish the second se		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
		V	Pursuan to 46 U.S.C. § 688 <i>et seq.</i> , and 28 U.S.C. § 1333.		

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

SEE RIDER

Case 1:07-cv-10047-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 8 of 12 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
▼	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Wheezing Date of onset: 3/31/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	\	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 3/31/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Eye Problems; Skin Rash; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 3/31/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damag	es:		
✓	Pain and suffering	✓	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life	✓	Other:
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	V	 ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

Case 1:07-cv-10047-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 9 of 12

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 30, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Steven Skific and Melissa Skific

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

 12^{th} Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

<u>RIDER</u>

Jurisdiction is predicated upon 28 U.S.C. §1331, pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 688, *et seq*, commonly, and hereafter referred to as the *Jones Act*, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1333, General Maritime and Admiralty Jurisdictions of the United States.

Weeks Marine barges are vessels of United States registry and either are or will be within the jurisdiction of this Court during the pendency of this action.

At all material times Weeks Marine was the owner and/or the owner *pro hoc vice*, and/or the bareboat charter of the barges.

At all material times Weeks Marine managed and/or controlled the barge(s).

Plaintiff's injuries occurred due to the negligence and fault of the defendants, their employees, and/or their agents and servants, in that they failed to have adequate and safe procedures for the seamen on the vessel to safely take on, haul, and remove debris, and dredge there was inadequate supervision, training, safety and health equipment and planning of the job.

The conditions, acts and omissions stated above rendered the barges unseaworthy as did the fact that the vessel was not properly designed to take on, haul, transport, and remove hazardous substances.

As a result of defendants' negligence and the unseaworthiness of the barge, plaintiff incurred loss of wages and found, medical expenses, pain and suffering, and will incur future loss of wages and found, medical expenses, pain and suffering.

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
October 30, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	STEVEN SKIFIC (AND WIFE, MELISSA SKIFIC),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
====	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
====	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	DOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP